

APPLICATION NO.

09/697,121

United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

10/27/2000

12/31/2003

7590

Washington, DC 20005-3096

McDermott Will & Emery

600 13th Street NW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

Tatsurou Kawamura 43888-087 6319

EXAMINER

SIEFKE, SAMUEL P

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1743

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/697,121	KAWAMURA, TATSUROU
	Examin r	Art Unit
	Samuel P Siefke	1743
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address		
THE REPLY FILED 22 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's representative r marks have been considered but are not persasive. Applicant argues "Sawai does not take a measurement before the reagent is mixed therein." In col. 9 lines 35-65, Sawai discusses measurements of basal medium of the sample being measured. This measurement takes place befor any of the reagent is added to the sample, "the measurement of lo may conveniently be performed with only the suspension contiaing the antibody or antigen sensitized insoluble carrer particles, said supension having been diluted with, for example, water to the same concentration as that in the mixture." This clearly states that a baseline is created without a sample. Applicant argues "Sawai does not recognize nor consider the potential for inherent turbidity in the solution." In col. 5, lines 21-40 Sawai disloses the problems associated with measurements takin when turbidity in a sample mixture occurs. Those problems incolve various disadvantasges such as poor precision and reproducitbily, since the reaction has to be carried out in a standing state with an extremely dilute latex.

Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700